1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
2	COUNTY OF SA	N BERNARDINO
3	DEPARTMENT S35 HC	ON. STANFORD REICHERT, JUDGE
4	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL)
5	WATER DISTRICT,)
6	Plaintiff,) Case No. RCVRS51010
7	-vs-)
8	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,))
9	Defendants.))
10		_
11		
12	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS
13	FRIDAY, APRIL 28, 2017	
14		
15	APPEARANCES:	
16	FOR CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER:	SCOTT SLATER
17		Attorney at Law BRADLEY HERREMA
18		Attorney at Law
19	FOR JURUPA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT:	ROBERT E. DONLAN Attorney at Law
20	FOR WESTERN MUNICIPAL	DAVID ALADJEM
21	WATER DISTRICT:	Attorney at Law
	FOR CCG ONTARIO, LLC:	FREDERIC A. FUDACZ
22		Attorney at Law GINA NICHOLLS
23		Attorney at Law
24	FOR CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT:	PAETER E. GARCIA Attorney at Law
25		
26		

Г

1	APPEARANCES	CONTINUED
2	FOR JURUPA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT:	CHRISTOPHER M. SANDERS Attorney at Law
4	FOR POMONA CITY:	THOMAS S. BUNN, III Attorney at Law
5	FOR OVERLYING AG POOL:	TRACY J. EGOSCUE Attorney at Law
6 7 8	FOR STATE OF CA, DOJ, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:	CAROL A.Z. BOYD Attorney at Law MARILYN H. LEVIN Attorney at Law
9	FOR CITY OF CHINO:	JIMMY GUTIERREZ Attorney at Law
10	FOR THREE VALLEYS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT:	STEVEN M. KENNEDY Attorney at Law
12	FOR INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES:	JEAN CIHIGOYENETCHE Attorney at Law
13 14		MARTIN CIHIGOYENETCHE Attorney at Law GREGORY D. TROSS
15		Attorney at Law
16 17	FOR MONTE VISTA WATER DISTRICT:	ARTHUR KIDMAN Attorney at Law ANDREW GAGEN Attorney at Law
18	FOR APPROPRIATIVE POOL	JOHN J. SCHATZ
19	COMMITTEE:	Attorney at Law
20		
21	REPORTED BY:	LINDY J. CASTRO Official Court Reporter
22		CSR No. 13180
23		
24		
25		
26		

ı

```
SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, APRIL 28, 2017
1
2
                           P.M. SESSION
3
    DEPARTMENT S35
                                HON. STANFORD REICHERT, JUDGE
    APPEARANCES:
4
5
                 (Appearances as heretofore noted.)
 6
                               -000-
           THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. So the
8
    first thing I need to do is take some appearances. I've
    got a big group here this afternoon. So let me just
10
    start here with Mr. Slater here on behalf of
11
    Watermaster.
12
           MR. SLATER: I am.
13
           THE COURT: I'm going to work down the cards that
14
    I've got and make sure that I got all the appearances
15
    from the cards. I've got Marilyn Levin here.
           MS. LEVIN: Yes.
16
17
           THE COURT: State of California, Department of
    Justice.
18
           I've got David Aladjem.
19
20
           MR. ALADJEM: Aladjem, your Honor. Good
2.1
    afternoon.
22
           THE COURT: On behalf of Western Municipal Water
23
    district.
2.4
           I also have Bradley Herrema here.
25
           MR. HERREMA: Good afternoon, your Honor.
           THE COURT: Good afternoon. I won't forget you,
2.6
```

```
1
    of course, next to Mr. Slater.
2
           Then Thomas Bunn for the City of Pomona.
           MR. BUNN: Here, your Honor.
3
           THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir.
 4
5
           And Andrew Gagen from Kidman Law Group, Monte
6
    Vista Water District.
           MR. GAGEN: Good morning.
8
           THE COURT: And we've got Carol Boyd, State of
    California, again, for California Department of
9
10
    Corrections.
11
           MS. BOYD: That's correct, your Honor.
12
           THE COURT: And rehabilitations.
13
           We've got Mr. Donlan here, Robert Donlan on
14
    behalf of Jurupa Community Services.
15
           MR. DONLAN: Here, your Honor.
           THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Donlan.
16
17
           And we've got Mr. Schatz here for the
    Appropriative Pool.
18
19
           MR. SCHATZ: Good afternoon.
           THE COURT: We've got Peter Garcia here for
20
2.1
    Cucamonga Water District.
22
           MR. GARCIA: Good afternoon, your Honor.
23
           THE COURT: Afternoon, sir.
2.4
           And then Gregory Tross here on behalf of Inland
25
    Empire Utilities Agency.
2.6
           MR. TROSS: Good afternoon, your Honor.
```

```
1
           THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
           And we've got Tracy Egoscue on behalf of the
2
3
    Overlying Agricultural Pool.
           MS. EGOSCUE: Good afternoon, your Honor.
 4
5
           THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 6
           And we've got Martin Cihigoyenetche.
           MR. MARTIN CIHIGOYENETCHE: Good afternoon, your
    Honor.
8
9
           THE COURT: Okay. On behalf of Inland Empire
10
    Utilities Agency.
           And Mr. Gutierrez is here.
11
12
           MR. GUTIERREZ: Present. Good afternoon, your
13
    Honor.
14
           THE COURT: On behalf of the City of Chino.
15
           And Jean Cihigoyenetche.
           MR. JEAN CIHIGOYENETCHE: Good afternoon, your
16
17
    Honor.
           THE COURT: A father/son on behalf of IEUA, thank
18
    you, Inland Empire Utilities Agency. And I identified
19
20
    Mr. Herrema already.
2.1
           And we've got Steven Kennedy on behalf of Three
22
    Valleys Municipal Water District.
23
           MR. KENNEDY: Good afternoon, your Honor.
2.4
           THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir.
25
           And Christopher Sanders on behalf of Jurupa
    Community Services District.
26
```

MR. SANDERS: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

2.6

And we've got two lawyers here for the City of Ontario. Frederic Fudacz.

MR. FUDACZ: Here, your Honor. Good afternoon.

THE COURT: And Gina Nicholls.

MS. NICHOLLS: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

And Mr. Kidman on behalf of Monte Visits Water District.

MR. KIDMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir.

Are there any attorneys or appearances that I didn't call that I need to identify here in the audience in the courtroom? Anyone? By show of hands. It's like picking a jury. No hands. So we've identified all the people who are here for the hearing.

Let me start with a couple of things right from the get-go. And the first item for the Court to address is Mr. Fudacz's -- where are you Mr. Fudacz? There you are -- and Ms. Nicholls's filing that came in yesterday on the request by the Chino Basin Desalter Authority Member Agencies regarding Desalter pumping. That request is granted forthwith, and I prepared an additional order, which I identified on the board and the screen -- the overhead projector -- and in a

supplemental cover memorandum with respect to revised orders with my explanation as to why that is granted.

2.1

2.4

2.6

argument on that issue, because in the Court's view, it was simply erroneous. And I was wrong. And so it is not going to be addressed any further than it's already been addressed in the revised order, which the Court provided all counsel copies on the counsel table -- a number of copies of the cover memo which identified the specific lines in the proposed orders that were deleted, and additional complete orders, three copies, in the courtroom earlier before the hearing which reflected the deletions. In case anyone had a question with respect to the context of what was deleted and what remains.

So I've addressed -- I wanted to address that immediately.

Next, this has been quite a road that we've covered. And the Court, after careful consideration of all of the briefing, has come down to one issue. And that is the recalculation. And so there was additional briefing from the parties with respect to whether the Court should define this as a reset. The tentative order indicates that the Court is not going to identify or define it as a reset but a recalculation for the reasons set forth in the tentative order.

Let me turn to you first, Mr. Slater.

MR. SLATER: Thank you, your Honor. Let me start, if I can, with a thank you. And I think I'm hopefully going to make your day a little easier because I'm prepared to present a common position from all parties on this point for you.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.1

2.4

MR. SLATER: But I'd like to start with just a thank you from Watermaster, all of the parties who have been here, been in front of you, and we realize the great burden that this matter has placed upon the Court. The extensive pleadings, the countless hours that your Honor has had to spend evaluating this, and we appreciate that you were aware of the importance of the Basin and managing it correctly. We have profound respect for your willingness to entertain us and give us your full attention and support the matter.

THE COURT: Let me stop you there and say the respect is mutual for all the counsel and their hard work and briefing. Court really appreciates it. It is a matter of patience and persistence on all sides. And the Court really appreciates the patience and persistence with respect to advising and briefing the Court through this process.

Let me express my own gratitude to everyone who is here. You, Mr. Herrema, the people who have taken the lead; Mr. Kidman, the new briefing I got from

```
Mr. Fudacz, and the additional briefing that was filed
1
2
    City of Chino. Of course, Mr. Gutierrez, the responding
3
    AP members, Jurupa Community Services. Thank you.
    Thank you, Jurupa City Community Services, in particular
4
5
    who have -- I hope I haven't missed someone. Sounds
6
    like an Academy Awards speech. Thanking the people for
    helping the Court resolve these issues. Which in the
8
    Court's view, are complicated. So, thank you. Respect
    is mutual.
10
           MR. SLATER: So, your Honor, I also didn't point
11
    out with us today we have four of our board members here
    today from Watermaster. They have worked tirelessly
12
13
    along with the parties and the staff. Of course we have
14
    our staff here today. Maybe I should introduce the
    board members. Stand up, please.
15
           Mr. Curatalo.
16
17
           THE COURT: I'm sorry. Wait. If you start
    again, maybe. We'll need the spelling of your name.
18
19
           MR. CURATALO: James Curatalo, C-u-r-a-t-a-l-o.
20
    I have the honor of servicing as board chair of Chino
    Basin.
2.1
22
           THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Curatalo. Please be
23
    seated.
24
           MR. VANDEN HEUVEL: Geoffrey, G-e-o-f-f-r-e-y,
    Vanden Heuvel, V-a-n-d-e-n H-e-u-v-e-l. I represent the
25
26
    Agricultural Pool and have from the beginning.
```

```
THE COURT: Thank you very much. Please be
1
2
    seated.
             Next.
3
           MR. KUHN: Bob Kuhn, K-u-h-n, representing Three
    Valleys Municipal Water District on the board.
4
5
           THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Kuhn.
 6
           MR. FILIPPI:
                         Gino Filippi, F-i-l-i-p-p-i.
                                                        Chino
7
    Basin Watermaster Board.
8
           THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Filippi.
9
           MR. GEYE: And Brian Geye, B-r-i-a-n G-e-y-e.
10
           THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Slater, for making
    those introductions.
11
12
           MR. SLATER: And also, I know you see his
13
    declarations from time to time. This is the famous
14
    Mr. Wildermuth.
           THE COURT: Mr. Wildermuth, I recognize your
15
16
    name.
           I don't even need the spelling of it.
17
           MR. SLATER: Our general manager, Peter Kavounas.
           THE COURT: Mr. Kavounas, don't need your
18
19
    spelling either. Thank you so much.
20
           MR. SLATER: And Ed Edgar.
2.1
           MR. EDGAR: Good afternoon.
22
           MR. SLATER: So my point would be behind this,
23
    it's our intention as the servant of the court to
2.4
    implement your order consistent with our best good faith
25
    understanding of it. And from this moment forward, we
    hope to continue to make progress on implementing the
2.6
```

OBMP.

2.1

2.4

I think which brings us to -- other than there might be a comment from counsel on another matter on the issue that you've reserved -- I believe we have a common position.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: And in all of this paper, I hope your Honor will be forgiving and appreciate that nomenclature can be mixed and sometimes confusing. We don't -- no party, to the best of our knowledge, actually intended to argue to you that a recalculation was somewhat different than a redetermination or a reset. So we are uniform in our resolve and in our position that, indeed, a recalculation as you've suggested will occur or has occurred.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: Concurrent with your order. So that's the first point. And so in setting at 135, that is a reduction. So the second point is that there will be a 5,000 acre-foot reduction. And that will be applied consistent with the first priority, which is in Exhibit H, paragraph 10A.

THE COURT: Exhibit H, paragraph 10A is, just so we're absolutely clear on this.

MR. SLATER: This would be on page 66 of the restated judgment. Paragraph dealing with unallocated

```
safe yield water. Paragraph A being priorities.
1
2
           THE COURT: Is that the one with the red line
3
    order.
                             Sorry. This is the judgment.
 4
           MR. SLATER: No.
5
           THE COURT: The judgment itself.
 6
           MR. SLATER:
                       Yeah.
7
           THE COURT: Of course.
8
           MR. SLATER: The retained judgment. Again the
9
    first priority.
10
           THE COURT: Thank you.
11
           MR. SLATER: And then subject to that, as you can
12
    appreciate, your Honor, that the parties want to be
13
    clear, that they're reserving their rights to appeal to
14
    the extent that they wish to pursue that to the entire
15
    matter.
16
           THE COURT: That goes without saying, but I'll
17
    state it affirmatively. Yes, of course.
           MR. SLATER: With that, we don't believe we have
18
19
    anything else to cover with you. I think all the
20
    parties have indicated through their counsel that they
2.1
    are in concurrence with that resolution. And we
22
    couldn't be more appreciative.
23
           I think Tracy Egoscue has one additional point to
2.4
    make.
25
           THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Egoscue.
2.6
           MS. EGOSCUE: Your Honor, may I approach just to
```

use that as a prop for my binder? 1 THE COURT: Sure. 2 3 MS. EGOSCUE: So turning your Honor's attention to the order, page 27 of the order. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. This is the clean one, so to 6 speak. 7 MS. EGOSCUE: This is your revised proposed. THE COURT: Not the one lined out but --8 9 MS. EGOSCUE: That's correct, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: What page again? 27. 11 MS. EGOSCUE: 12 THE COURT: Coming up. 13 MS. EGOSCUE: So --14 THE COURT: Hang on. I'm with you now. 15 MS. EGOSCUE: On behalf of the Ag Pool, we believe that the language of your order is clear that 16 17 you do not intend to subvert or change the Ag Pool's priority right to the 82,800, which is the allocation 18 under the judgment. However, if you look at some of 19 20 your tables that illustrate your point, including this 2.1 is as the first one. I will walk your Honor through the 22 page number, and all counsel and parties. You start 23 here on this page with 82,800 of the Ag Pool's water 2.4 available to the Appropriative Pool. 25 And we would like to clarify with your Honor on 2.6 the record that that is not intended to subvert the Ag

Pool's first priority right to produce the water. 1 THE COURT: That's correct. 2 3 MS. EGOSCUE: Thank you, your Honor. Also on 4 page 38, it's a similar language. Again, at the top of 5 that table. THE COURT: Yes. 6 7 MS. EGOSCUE: 82,800 available to the 8 Appropriative Pool. 9 THE COURT: Yes. And I'll just add, that was the 10 figure that time. If you look at page 7, the Court 11 notes that the Aq Pool actually gets 414,000 acre-feet in any five consecutive years. But for purposes of 12 13 calculation and convenience, I use the 82,800, because, 14 in general, that's what the parties use too. 15 But the Court is not contradicting or changing 16 the 414,000 acre-feet in any five consecutive years 17 attributed to the Ag Pool in the judgment. If anything, I think everyone knows that what I'm trying to do, and 18 19 my objective has been throughout all of these hearings 20 and briefings, is to confirm the terms of the judgment. MS. EGOSCUE: Respectfully, your Honor, we agree 2.1 22 in terms of how you presented this. However, the Aq 23 Pool believes that tables taken out of context may cause 2.4 some mischief that we're trying to avoid here. 25 THE COURT: I appreciate that too. 2.6 MS. EGOSCUE: Two last -- the next page 39 at the

top, leaving 50,000 acre-feet to the Ag Pool. 1 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MS. EGOSCUE: That we'd like to clarify, they actually have first call to the 82,800. 4 5 THE COURT: Absolutely. Actually not only that, 6 but also the 414,000 in any five consecutive years. That is the basic. People have divided it up for that 8 purpose. MS. EGOSCUE: Thank you, your Honor. Just 9 10 because I'm trying to be complete and did not file 11 anything and would like to do this here on the record. Page 64, again in that table, it notes the Ag Pool 12 13 production/pumping is minus 33. And we realize that it 14 does say the initial Ag Pool allocation. But for purposes of my client, your clarifications on the record 15 16 this afternoon are sufficient. Agree. 17 THE COURT: Any objections, comments, suggestions input, argument? 18 19 MR. SLATER: No objection, your Honor. 20 MS. EGOSCUE: To the extent your Honor would like 2.1 suggested clarifications in writing, I can work with all 22 counsel and submit them later. But right now, we are 23 sufficiently satisfied. Thank you. 2.4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I agree with 25 what you said. 2.6 MS. EGOSCUE: Thank you very much, your Honor.

```
1
           THE COURT: You're welcome. Next, Mr. Herrema?
           MR. HERREMA: Nothing, your Honor.
2
3
           THE COURT: Off the record.
                (Off the record.)
 4
5
           THE COURT: All right. Is there anything
6
    further?
           MR. SLATER: Just our profound thank you, your
8
    Honor.
9
           THE COURT: Thank you. Let me go off the record
10
    for a moment again.
11
                (Off the record.)
           THE COURT: Let me go back on the record. Off
12
13
    the record, the Court has had a discussion with counsel
14
    with respect to a further plan, which the Court would
15
    like to summarize on the record and encourage. And that
16
    is as we progress into the 2020 evaluation and then the
17
    2030 evaluation, which would conclude the peace
18
    agreement. Mr. Slater suggested that the parties bring
19
    matters to the court periodically and individually as
20
    the issues arise.
2.1
           If I summarized your position correctly,
    Mr. Slater.
22
23
           MR. SLATER: Just to apprise the Court on the
2.4
    progress we're making, and the Court is aware as we make
25
    that progress what we're trying to work on and resolve.
2.6
           THE COURT: Let me not only request that, but
```

encouraged that.

2.1

Honor.

MR. SLATER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: You're welcome. This is a big project that started out with the statement of key principals, the agreement itself, and then the Court's review, which took a year and a half. I'm shocked to say that, but it did. I'm only stating the obvious. This is how long we've all been working on this. So, again, I have to express my patience -- my gratitude -- MR. SLATER: We appreciate your patience, your

THE COURT: Your patience too with me in resolving these issues -- they were very complicated -- and your persistence. And the Court wants to thank you all again. As things come up, bring them to me.

MR. SLATER: Best stated, one bite at a time.

THE COURT: That sounds like an excellent plan.

There have been some additional things submitted to the Court that the Court wanted to address today on the record.

Let me start, this was a filing from last October 16, the Court mentioned when I was discussing a continuance that we are in geological time. But even geological time passes. And, it has. This was from last October, six months ago. October -- exactly six months ago, October 28, 2016. Motion for the Court to

approve temporary substitute rate for physical solution transfers under Exhibit G to the judgment with the declaration of Mr. Herrema in support.

2.1

2.4

2.6

The Court has not received any opposition to this motion, and since I would like to add it to the hearing today and make a ruling, is there any objection to the Court approving the temporary substitute rate as proposed in this motion filed last October?

Hearing none, the Court is going to accept and approve the temporary substitute rate then, retroactive for filing of the motion.

MR. SLATER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. And then the Court had also received -- this is from a little later, December 13 of last year -- a filing from the Court to receive and file the 38th Watermaster Annual Report. The Court had not received any objection to this request. And I'm ready to add it to the calendar and proceed today. Any objection from anyone in the courtroom?

No objection. Thank you. The Court will grant the request and receive and file the 38th Watermaster Annual Report.

The last matter for additional review today is a filing March 24, 2017, we're actually working up to the current date. A request for the Court to receive and file Watermaster's semiannual OBMP status report. The

Court did not receive any objection to this request, and the Court is prepared to put it on the calendar for today and rule on it. Any objection from any of the parties?

2.1

2.4

2.6

No objection. Thank you. The court will grant the request and receive and follow Watermaster's semi annual OBMP status report.

So I think that takes care of all the matters that have been filed while the SYRA motion has been pending. And the last thing the Court is going to do today, again, expressing my censere appreciation with all counsel for helping the Court through this and actually sign the order itself. I feel like we ought to have a real estate closing where people all sit around the table and review and sign the documents. But it's really just for me. I'm signing it for today's date, which is 4/28/17. Signing the order.

And this is the order distributed today with the notations and changes indicated today. And it is going to be the final order for today as previously distributed and argued. So I'm thrilled to have worked with you all. I'm looking forward to working with you more as time progresses and seeing you soon as the relevant and important dates come up through the time that the peace agreement is in effect, 13 more years.

I will state on the record, since you can't get

the tone of voice on the record, that we probably ought to start now working out what we're going to do after the end of 2030. Because, as I mentioned, even though it is geological time, that time has a way of passing.

And I was around as a research attorney, some of you may have known, when Judge Gunn signed the original orders on the peace agreement in 2000. And that was a huge effort and huge accomplishment of all parties, and I hope everyone can work together and resolve the issues that are coming up at the end of 2030. Thank you, again, everyone. And I believe that will conclude the hearing for today.

MR. SLATER: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the proceedings in the above-entitled matter concluded for the day.)

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
2	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		
3	DEPARTMENT S35 HON. STANFORD REICHERT, JUDGE		
4	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL)		
5	WATER DISTRICT,))		
6	Plaintiff,) Case No. RCVRS51010		
7	-vs-) Reporter's Certificate)		
8	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,)		
9	Defendants.)		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.		
11	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO)		
12	I, Lindy J. Castro, Official Court Reporter for		
13	the Superior Court of the State of California, for the		
14	County of San Bernardino, do hereby certify that the		
15	foregoing pages, 1 through 18, to the best of my		
16	knowledge and belief, comprise a full, true, and correct		
17	computer-aided transcript of the proceedings taken in		
18	the matter of the above-entitled cause held on Friday,		
19	April 28, 2017.		
20	Dated this 29th day of May, 2017.		
21			
22			
23			
24	Lindy J. Castro		
25	C.S.R. 13180		
26			